



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8802	
09/397,481	09/16/1999	JAMES L. HAWS	004578.1025(		
75	90 02/11/2003				
JERRY W MILLS			EXAMINER		
BAKER & BOTTS LLP 2001 ROSS AVENUE			ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK		
DALLAS, TX 752012980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_			3743		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

				DATE MAILED:	18
			<i>(AMINER</i> in charge c ENTS AND TRADEM		, 0
		ADVISOR	Y ACTION		
THE PERIOD FOR REPL	<b>Y</b> :				
a) 🗌 will expire	months from	m the date of the fina	I Office action (includ	ding extensions of tir	ne granted).
	ter. In no event, howe				of this Advisory Action, nths from the mail date of
Extensions of time may be extension fee have been fi The appropriate extension originally set in the final Of	iled is the date for pur fee under 37 CFR 1.	poses of determining 17(a) is calculated fro	the period of extens om: (1) the expiration	ion and the correspo	36(a) and the appropriate anding amount of the fee. and statutory period for reply
Appellant's Brief is du	e in accordance with	37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Applicant's reply to the to place the application	e final rejection, filed_ on in condition for allow	1/21/03 wance.	_has been considere	ed with the following	effect, but it is not deemed
1. The proposed amen	dment to the claim and	d/or specifications wi	Il not be entered and	the final rejection st	ands because:
a. There is no convi earlier presented	incing showing under	37 CFR 1.116(b) wh	y the proposed amen	ndment is necessary	and was not
b.   They raise new is	ssues that would requi	ire further considerat	ion and/or search. (S	See Note).	
c. They raise the iss	sue of new matter. (Se	ee Note).			
d. They are not dee for appeal.	med to place the appl	ication in better form	for appeal by materi	ally reducing or simp	lifying the issues
e.   They present add	ditional claims without	cancelling a corresp	onding number of fin	ally rejected claims.	
NOTE:					
-					
					-,
	or amended claim celling the non-allowat		would be allow	ved if submitted in a	separately filed
3. Upon the filing ar will be as follows		d amendment 🗌 w	ill be entered 🗌 will	I not be entered and	the status of the claims
Claim allowed: Claims objected to:					
Claims rejected:					
However;					
Applicant's reply has	s overcome the followi	ing rejection(s):		· · · · · ·	
in the Final Re	ejection, Faghtil	1308) meets th	e clamed there	ton. Intended	rejection because as state use language dols mos means Plus Gundhon
5. The affidavit or e presented.	xhibit will not be cons	idered because appli	cant has not shown o	good and sufficent re	asons why it was not earlier
	awing correction	has 🗌 has not bee	n approved by the ex	aminer.	
U Other				07.050 / 50/ 11/5	
Applicant may obtain	n further examination	by tiling a request for	an application under	r 37 CFH 1.53(d) (CF (	°A). Christopher atkinson Primary examiner

V ...